

**SECRET**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY**  
**US ARMY INTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY COMMAND**  
**FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755**



IAFM-OPS-HU

21 February 1979

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: INSCOM PROJECT GRILL FLAME: PROGRESS REPORT #1 (U)

1. (U) Period Covered: 27 October 1978 - 16 February 1979
2. (U) Purpose: The purpose of the progress report is to provide periodic status reports concerning INSCOM's involvement in project GRILL FLAME. These reports will be shown to "key" INSCOM personnel and then only after the INSCOM GRILL FLAME Project Officer has determined that a "need-to-know" exists.
3. (S/ORCON) Introduction: Sometime during the month of October 1978, Colonel A. W. Hamel, ADCSOPS-HUMINT, INSCOM, was tasked by the DCSOPS, INSCOM, to provide a Project Officer for a new program that INSCOM was becoming involved in at the direction of the ACSI. Major Murray B. Watt, PPPA, ADCSOPS-HUMINT, was selected to be the INSCOM Project Manager (PM) and on 27 October 1978 was so notified.

In short, the new project involved the development of a program using parapsychology phenomena for intelligence applications. INSCOM was verbally tasked (Note: There exists no written tasking between OACSI and HQ, INSCOM) to explore the technique of remote viewing using INSCOM selected personnel who would be trained by Stanford Research Institute - International (SRI). Remote viewing pertains to the ability of certain individuals to access and describe, by means of mental processes, information sources blocked from ordinary perception, and generally accepted as secure against such access.

4. (S/ORCON) Tasking: As previously stated, HQ INSCOM never received any written tasking on this subject. However, the CG, INSCOM and the ACSI had agreed upon the concept of remote viewing and the need for Army involvement in this area. At TAB A is a copy of the revised Statement of Work which provides for the training of three INSCOM personnel by SRI.

CLASSIFIED BY: Director, DIA  
DECLASSIFY ON: 22 Feb 99  
EXTENDED BY: Director, DIA  
REASON: 2-301-C (3) (6)

**SECRET**

*Copy 1 of 3 COPIES*  
*CONTROL # 901216*

**SECRET**

IAFM-OPS-HU

21 February 1979

SUBJECT: INSCOM PROJECT GRILL FLAME: PROGRESS REPORT #1 (U)

5. (S/ORCON) Project Development: The initial concern of the Project Manager (PM) was to develop a full understanding of parapsychology and the various aspects of the phenomena. Towards this end, the PM has been greatly assisted by Lieutenant Frederick H. Atwater. LT Atwater, although assigned to the Special Security Detachment, 902d MI Group, was attached to the GRILL FLAME project because of previous research into this area during work on a separate project.

a. To develop a sense of appreciation for an understanding of parapsychology, the PM undertook a vigorous Research Program (which is still ongoing) to include the following:

- (1) Extensive reading on the subject matter.
- (2) Visits to DOD officials with knowledge of the subject matter.
- (3) Visits to SRI (see TABs B and C).
- (4) Visits to AMSAA (see TABs D and E).

b. On 24 November 1978, the tentative milestone schedule for INSCOM GRILL FLAME activity was submitted to the ADCSOPS-HUMINT (COL Hamel) for his approval (see TAB F).

c. DOD Committee Action: On 28 November 1978, the first meeting of the Working Group Committee of the DOD Special Sensors Project met at DIA. (See TAB G.) One of the first actions of the Working Group was to formally change the name of the group to the DOD GRILL FLAME Committee. The Working Group will meet at the call of the Chairperson, Dr. Jack Vorona, DIA. MAJ Watt, not only is a member of the Working Group, but he also sits on the two Ad Hoc Committees formed to establish "Goals and Objectives" and to develop "experimental protocol and methods for validating experiments."

d. DA GRILL FLAME Committee: DA representatives met at HQ DARCOM on 28 November 1978 to discuss Project GRILL FLAME and what the Army position should be (see TAB H).

e. Procedures for conducting "Survey" -- Throughout the orientation period, MAJ Watt and LT Atwater were busy preparing a method that would help them select the INSCOM personnel who would volunteer for participation in the GRILL FLAME Project that INSCOM was going to carry out. It was decided to conduct an interview with selected INSCOM personnel throughout the greater Washington area. The interviews would serve as the "guise" under which project personnel could obtain viewpoints,

**SECRET**

**SECRET**

IAFM-OPS-HU

21 February 1979

SUBJECT: INSCOM PROJECT GRILL FLAME: PROGRESS REPORT #1 (U)

opinions, and general feelings toward the subject of parapsychology and the uses to which such psychic ability should be applied. The following chart denotes the number of personnel considered and interviewed. For security purposes the number of personnel actually selected has been omitted--such data can be readily obtained from project personnel only on a very strict "need-to-know" basis.

<u>UNIT</u>	<u>CONSIDERED</u>	<u>INTERVIEWED</u>
SOD	24	21
IIPD	48	27
DCI	17	7
902d	12	4
ADCSOPS-HUMINT	20	14
OPS GP	25	10
DCSOPS	41	13
ITAC	55	12
Misc.	9	9
Total	<u>251</u>	<u>117</u>

The large difference between number considered and number interviewed is due to the elimination factors that were initially applied when meeting with the various unit commanders or principal staff officers. The selection criteria/process was carried out in the following manner:

- (1) Decision made to interview only WO/OFF/Civilians (GS9 and above).\*
- (2) Initial elimination based on:
  - (a) Commander's evaluation.
  - (b) Retainability - at least 20 months.
  - (c) Health.
  - (d) Not readily available (programmed TDY, schools, etc.).
- (3) Conduct personal interviews/survey.
- (4) Reassessment process.
- (5) Request specific personnel to volunteer for INSCOM program.

**SECRET**

**SECRET**

IAFM-OPS-HU

21 February 1979

SUBJECT: INSCOM PROJECT GRILL FLAME: PROGRESS REPORT #1 (U)

(6) SRI personnel interview selected personnel.

(7) Final decision/selection of personnel.

\*Exceptions to this rule were made in three cases when project personnel received strong recommendations concerning three individuals who did not meet original criteria.

f. Security Aspects: Throughout the developmental phases of this project, security has been of primary concern--not only security of GRILL FLAME itself but security/safety of personnel involved with the project.

Access to GRILL FLAME information is limited to a "Strict Need-to-Know Basis" and the PM maintains a Security Access Roster of all INSCOM personnel with knowledge of the activities of the program. Further, the PM serves as POC for all other agencies desiring GRILL FLAME information including security status as regards GRILL FLAME.

6. (S/ORCON) Future Plans: The coming weeks will basically be devoted to the following activities:

- a. Initial in-house training of all project personnel.
- b. Training at SRI.
- c. Establishing procedures and determining appropriate applications.

*Murray B. Watt*  
MURRAY B. WATT  
Major, MI  
INSCOM GRILL FLAME  
Project Officer

**SECRET**

# TAB A

**SECRET**

(S-ORCON) REVISED STATEMENT OF WORK (U)

The overall goal of this project is the:

- a. Design, development, and establishment of a remote viewing training program.
- b. Participation of individuals from AMSAA and INSCOM in development phases of the training program.

Four separate activities will be undertaken to achieve this goal:

(1) Senior observers from INSCOM and AMSAA will travel to Fort Ord, California in November 1978 to observe remote viewing experiments. These observers will then proceed to SRI for a one/two week period for the purpose of evaluating past data, observing experiments in progress, taking part in remote viewing experiments as a participant, and, as a monitor, conducting experiments with other personnel. Relevant data, protocols, and procedures will be made available to the observers to enable them to reach informed conclusions about the remote viewing activity and methods for conducting similar activities in a different location. They will be instructed in the techniques by the SRI personnel in screening volunteers for remote viewing potential. (15%)

(2) The senior INSCOM observer will select potential candidates for further screening by an SRI interviewer, who will then select three INSCOM individuals to take part in various development phases of the remote viewing training program at SRI for a period of one month each. These individuals will act as both participants and experimenters. Relevant training techniques and materials developed to date will be made available to them so that they can conduct their own experiments. (70%)

**SG11**

(4) As an adjunct to the efforts on standard remote viewing, each of the training program participants will carry out a minimum of 250 trials with a Ten-Choice Numerical Testing Facility to provide a calibration on ability to identify numeric characters by remote viewing. This is a new facility under test in our lab to determine whether it can contribute to screening and/or training in the remote viewing process. The data will be analyzed to detect success/failure strategies, trends in learning ability, and so forth. The results of such analysis will be provided to the client. (5%)

809758

COPY 1 OF 1

~~AMSAA 1558 1978~~

CLASSIFIED BY: MSG, 7 JUL 78, DA  
(DAMI-ISH), WASH, DC

EXEMPTED FROM GENERAL DECLASSIFICATION  
SCHEDULE OF EXECUTIVE ORDER 11652

EXEMPTION CATEGORY: 2

DECLASSIFY ON: 31 DEC 2008

# TAB B

IAFM-OPS-HUP

21 November 1978

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJ: Summary of Visit to SRI International - 15-17 November 1978 (U)

1. (S/NOFORN) PURPOSE: This MFR highlights significant data covered during my recent visit to SRI. The primary reasons for the visit were to meet the appropriate SRI personnel involved in "Remote Viewing" (RV) experiments, receive an overall orientation on the RV program and to discuss the proposal under which SRI was going to train selected INSCOM personnel in RV techniques.
2. (S/NOFORN) Mr. Scott Phillips, GS-14, Army Material Systems Analysis Activity (AMSAA), accompanied me on the visit. Mr. Phillips has been involved with AMSAA GRILL FLAME activities and was interested in Sensitive Compartmented Information (SCI) projects that SRI might be working on.
3. (S/NOFORN) The major points covered during the visit are summarized below:
  - a. Initial orientation briefing was given by Dr. Harold Puthoff and Russell Targ. Others in attendance were Charles Tart, Psychologist Consultant, and Ed May, Consultant.
  - b. Dr. Puthoff and Mr. Targ stressed that they do not have all the answers concerning RV Phenomenon nor do they expect to have the answers in the near future.
  - c. Concerning the new "Work Statement", we agreed on the following:
    - (1) SRI will train INSCOM Team Chief (Maj Watt), including management techniques and how to design/conduct RV experiments.
    - (2) Maj Watt will return to SRI in late Nov-early Dec for training (5-10 days).
    - (3) Maj Watt will "screen" INSCOM personnel for possible candidates and have nominees ready for final interview by SRI personnel NLT 26 January 1979.
    - (4) SRI Staffer will come to DC area (when notified we are ready) and interview nominees. From the group of nominees, three individuals will be selected for formal training at SRI.
    - (5) Beginning in April 1979, SRI will commence formal training of individuals selected for RV training. One individual will be trained per month and training will last 3-4 weeks. Training will include Neurophysical Testing as well as new techniques concerning Subject Reinforcement.
  - d. We discussed in some detail criteria for selection of Subjects. Again, it should be noted, that no definite profile has been established but

ACSI DA (DAMI-154)

SECRET NOFORN

2  
31 Dec 2008

experience has shown that successful subjects normally possess some of the following characteristics:

- well-thought of by co-workers
- above average intelligence
- thinks well of himself
- adventurous
- open-minded
- successful
- articulate
- feeling of sensitivity
- mature and stable
- "artistic" character and personality
- ability to "in-flow" data


e. Dr. Puthoff and Mr. Targ demonstrated both the "Ten Function Random Number Generator Test" and the "Four Function Testing" equipment. Apparently, there is some relationship to how well individuals do with the above tests as to how they will fare during RV sessions. INSCOM trainees will be exposed to this equipment during their respective training cycles.

f. Dr. Puthoff and Mr. Targ conducted an RV experiment with Scott Phillips as the Subject. Agains, results were somewhat negative, at least to me--although there were elements of the experiment which were intriguing enough to warrant further exploration.

SG1A

g. SRI does have an on-going SCI project with DIA. Initial results have not been fully examined.

SG1A



h. Finally, the trip to SRI certainly was beneficial and all parties concerned were very helpful as well as professional. I was very impressed with the results obtained thus far and I am looking forward to further research into the RV arena.

*Murray B. Watt*

MURRAY B. WATT  
Maj, MI  
INSCOM GRILL FLAME  
Project Officer

1 INCL

21 November 1978

SUBJ: SRI International Visit (15-17 Nov 78), Time Schedule

WEDNESDAY - 15 Nov 78

0900: Departed Baltimore/Washington Airport.  
1145: Arrived San Francisco Airport. Met by CPT Gary Higgins, CI/SIGSEC BN, SF.  
1200-1300: Travel to and In-processing at hotel.  
1300-1330: Travel (by car) to SRI International.  
1330-1800: Discussions with SRI personnel to include: Initial orientation, explaining remote viewing experiments, training schedule, and criteria for selection of subjects.

THURSDAY - 16 Nov 78

0900-1200: Discussions with Dr. Puthoff and Russell Targ concerning new "Work Statement" (contract between SRI and INSCOM).  
1300-1500: Discussion and experiments using the "Ten Function Random Number Generator Test" and the "Four Function Random Test".  
1500-1800: Remote Viewing Exercise.

FRIDAY - 17 Nov 78

0730-0900: Wrap-up session with SRI personnel, including discussion concerning next visit and viewing of exercise to be conducted by CDEC at Ft Ord.  
1030-1130: Courtesy call on CDR, CI/SIGSEC Bn (LTC Cooley).  
1330: Depart San Francisco Airport.  
2110: Arrive Baltimore/Washington Airport.

SECRET

*Anchorage I*

TAB C

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

IAFM-OPS-HUP

19 December 1978

SUBJ: Summary of Visit to SRI International (12-15 December 1978 (U)

1. (S/NOFORN) PURPOSE: This MFR highlights significant items covered during my visit to SRI. The primary reason for the visit was to undergo formal training concerning techniques of conducting "remote viewing" experiments.

2. (U) This initial training cycle was limited to approximately 3 days since one phase of the program was rescheduled due to lack of resources (experiments at Ft Ord with CDEC element were rescheduled until mid/late January 1979 because SRI did not have trained Subject available).

3. (U) Topics covered during the 3-day training session are as listed:

- a. Right/Left hemisphere dominance.
- b. Method of screening potential subjects.
- c. Role of statistics.
- d. Review of recent experiments conducted by SRI.
- e. Statistics - How they are applied to experiments.
- f. Review of tape recordings from recent experiments.
- g. Instructions on judging procedures.
- h. Factors that inhibit/enhance success of experiments.
- i. Relationship of subject to interviewer.
- j. Role of out-bound team.

4. (S/NOFORN) During the course of the 3-day visit, several informal discussion sessions were held. These sessions proved invaluable as regards the exchange of various points-of-view on remote viewing phenomena. Some of the "key" discussion points are listed below:

a. One of the primary objectives of our program will be to establish how we can use the information obtained via remote viewing. For example, it would do us little good if a psychic describes place where kidnapper holds individual but can't tell you where the place is located.

Class by DIR DIA  
Declassify on 20 Dec 98  
Extended by DIR DIA  
Reason: 2-301-C (3)(6).

b. Ruled out use of questionnaire that we had developed for screening possible subjects. Felt that questions were "hostile", could be misleading, danger of people seeking right or correct answer, and really wouldn't prove anything one way or another.

c. As a result, we agreed that selection of subjects should be done via one of the following methods:

(1) Modify questionnaire--use as a vehicle to open discussion with possible subjects during one-on-one interview.

(2) Have SRI rep speak to INSCOM personnel at some sort of assembly using "Broadening of Mind" approach. This proposal has element of security risk even though presentation would have cover of professional development as purpose for presentation.

d. Security of program was discussed in great detail. SRI personnel would probably feel better if everything was "out-in-the-open". Suggest we discuss with SRI (and their subjects) before DA prepares any final position concerning what will or will not be released to the press.

e. SRI stressed that DA, INSCOM, etc. should be aware that training program will not insure instant success and that development of subject's ability to perform at a reasonable level could take up to two years and, even then, no one can guarantee success.

f. A review of SRI's year-end studies in remote viewing revealed the following:

(1) There is an increase in reliability of psychoenergetic functioning as a result of practice.

(2) Following factors appears to inhibit success in RV:

(a) Prior knowledge of target possibilities.

(b) Absence of feedback.

(c) Doing trivial tasks (test for the sake of testing).

(d) Use of repetitive target sequences.

(3) Factors that enhance success in RV:

(a) Interest factor for SUBJECT.

(b) Seriousness of purpose.

(c) A monitor to ask questions.

(d) Practice with feedback.

g. We (myself, Dr. Puthoff, Russell Targ) decided that in judging INSCOM experiments that the best approach would be for the judge to go to Site 1 and review selected number of target transcripts and rate accordingly.

h. One of SRI's principal SUBJECTS stressed that individual (selected for program) is going to have to handle the fact that change to basic beliefs might occur.

5. (S/NOFORN) We agreed that target date of late January 1979 was realistic for being prepared to make final selection of INSCOM subjects. SRI will send representatives to Ft Meade to help in final selection procedure. I suggested that it might be possible to arrange luncheon during such a visit whereby the ACSI, CDR INSCOM and other senior Army officials could have the opportunity to meet the SRI team (including IL) and discuss overall philosophy of program. NOTE: I will pursue this item with OACSI Action Officer - Maj Stoner, and appropriate officials within INSCOM.

*Murray B. Watt*  
MURRAY B. WATT  
MAJ, MI  
INSCOM GRILL FLAME  
Project Officer

19 December 1978

SUBJ: SRI International Visit (12-15 Dec 78), Time Schedule

TUESDAY - 12 Dec 78

0900: Departed Baltimore/Washington Airport.  
1145: Arrived San Francisco Airport.  
1200-1300: Travel to and in-processing at hotel.  
1300-1330: Travel to SRI (via rent-a-car).  
1330-1500: Discuss agenda for training sessions.  
1500-1830: Presentation on "Remote Viewing" techniques by Charles Tart (via graduate student).

WEDNESDAY - 13 Dec 78

0800-1730: Remote Viewing Training Techniques.

THURSDAY - 14 Dec 78

0800-1600: Remote Viewing Training Techniques.  
1600-1830: Discussions with Dr. Puthoff and Ingo Swann.

FRIDAY - 15 Dec 78

0800-1000: Remote Viewing Training Techniques.  
1000-1100: Review of "Advanced Threat Technique Assessment."  
1100-1145: Wrap-up session with Dr. Puthoff and Mr. Targ.

Incl 1

SECRET EVIDENCE

# TAB D

3 November 1978

MEMO FOR THE RECORD

SUBJ: GRILL FLAME Meeting at Aberdeen - 2 Nov 78

1. On 2 Nov 78, INSCOM GRILL FLAME Project Officer, Maj Watt, met with the following Army Material Systems Analysis Activity (AMSAA) personnel to discuss AMSAA involvement in Remote viewing:

John Kramar (GS-16) - Asst Dir for Systems Effectiveness and Joint Service Activities

COL Albert DeProspero - Deputy Director, AMSAA

Lynne Taylor - GRILL FLAME Project Leader (AMSAA)

Scott Phillips - Air Weapons Division

Brit Harrison - Foreign Intelligence Office

Dan Murdock

NOTE: Scott Phillips is one of the SUBJECTS in the AMSAA GRILL FLAME Project.

2. Mr. John Kramar presented a two-hour orientation briefing on AMSAA involvement with parapsychology phenomena. This included a brief historical summary of paranormal activities.

3. Lynne Taylor, GRILL FLAME Project Leader, AMSAA and Scott Phillips then briefed me on the status of the project they are running. In short, they are conducting a series of experiments attempting to validate results that were provided by Stanford Research Institute (SRI) on a series of remote viewing exercises. They were conducting an exercise that afternoon and I was invited to go with the "Outbound" team.

4. Remote Viewing Exercise: Briefly, it consisted of the "Outbound" team receiving a sealed envelope containing a fixed location within a 30 minute ride of AMSAA headquarters. The envelope was not opened until after the "Outbound" team (of which I was a member) left Aberdeen Proving Grounds. Once we knew the location, we still had to drive around until 30 minutes had elapsed. At the designated time, we arrived at the "Test" location and walked around the area. We stayed at the "test" location for 15 minutes and then returned to AMSAA.

Meanwhile, the SUBJECT was being interviewed by the Inbound Experimenter. Their conversation was taped and available for later screening. The SUBJECT attempted to describe the area where the Outbound Team had gone. Neither the SUBJECT nor the Inbound Experimenter had access to the sealed envelope.

Although the results still have to be analyzed by AMSAA personnel, I would say that the experiment was partially successful. The SUBJECT was able to provide some information concerning our location and the surrounding environment. However, certain control measures and other facets of the experiment need further exploration. I discussed my views with the AMSAA personnel.

CLASSIFIED BY DIA 58-2  
EXEMPTED FROM GENERAL DECLASSIFICATION  
SCHEDULE OF EXECUTIVE ORDER 11652  
EXEMPTION CATEGORY 2  
DECLASSIFY ON 31 Dec 2008

5. Lynne Taylor is going to send me a copy of the "work contract" that is being drawn up which will outline what SRI will undertake for INSCOM.
6. Lynne Taylor concurred that AMSAA would be able to provide space, limited as it may be, for me to conduct screening interviews with INSCOM personnel as we develop our program.
7. We all agreed that next step was for me to contact SRI and set up time to go visit them in order to receive in-depth orientation/training in remote viewing exercises.
8. I want to note that all AMSAA personnel were extremely helpful and provided outstanding support throughout the visit.

*Murray B. Watt*

MURRAY B. WATT  
MAJ, MI  
GRILL FAME PROJECT OFFICER

# TAB E

16 January 1979

MEMO FOR THE RECORD

SUBJ: Summary of Visit to AMSAA - 12 Jan 79 (U)

1. (S/ORCON) PURPOSE: On 12 Jan 79, Lt Fred Atwater and Maj Murray Watt visited AMSAA at Aberdeen Proving Grounds (APG) for the following purposes:

- a. To receive an updating on the status of their GRILL FLAME Project.
- b. To listen/review some of their "session" tapes in order to obtain ideas and suggestions for our program.
- c. To review film that AMSAA had pertaining to remote viewing.

2. (S/ORDON) Lynne Taylor, AMSAA GRILL FLAME Project Officer, and Scott Phillips spent the morning providing us with an update of their project. They reported success in the following:

- a. Target selection
- b. Precognition exercises.

3. (S/ORDON) Word terminology continues to play increased importance in the execution of AMSAA's exercises...for example,

viewer vice subject  
facilitator vice interviewer  
session vice experiment

..although the above only reflects word changes, AMSAA personnel feel that the changes tend to give a more relaxed atmosphere to the program.

4. (U) Time was not available for us to review the news film that AMSAA had, so we accepted the kind offer to borrow the film and review it at our leisure.

5. (S/ORCON) Other topics discussed during the visit are as follows:

- a. Our program status, including the technique(s) being used to interview potential candidates for our program.
- b. Problems that we (INSCOM) might face in the early stages of our program.
- c. Need for project to be free from interference from various levels of management.


Class by DIR DIA  
Declass 16 Jan 99  
Extended by DIR DIA  
Reason: 2-301-C (3)(6).

MFR

SUBJ: Summary of Visit to AMSAA - 12 Jan 79 (U)

d. Exchange of old/current/new literature that would be beneficial to all.

6. (U) All agreed that the day was well spent and we agreed to get together in the near future to keep everyone informed.



MURRAY B. WATT  
MAJ, MI  
INSCOM GRILL FLAME  
PROJECT OFFICER

# TAB F

INSCOMGRILL FLAMEMILESTONES:

<u>ITEM</u>	<u>PROJECTED DATE</u>	<u>STATUS/REMARKS</u>
Initial Orientation at SRI International	20 Nov 78	Accomplished 15-17 Nov 78
Project Manager Training	4-5 Dec 78	Will include CDEC Exercise at Ft Ord
Screening of INSCOM Personnel	18 Dec 78 - 26 Jan 79	We will interview candidates from all disciplines
SRI/INSCOM Final Selection	Last Week of Jan 79	SRI will send representativ to DC Area
Establish Remote Viewing Program	18 Dec 79 - 26 Jan 79	
Conduct Initial Exercises	Feb-Mar 79	
Commence Formal Training at SRI	Apr-June	One indiv per month
Conduct Formal/Practical Exercises	As subjects complete training	
Evaluate Program	1 October 1979	

CLASSIFIED BY DIAM 58-2  
 EXEMPT FROM GENERAL DECLASSIFICATION  
 SCHEDULE BY EXECUTIVE ORDER 11652  
 EXEMPTION CATEGORY 2  
 AUTHORITY ON 31 Dec 2008

# TAB G



9. (S/ORCON) An "ad hoc" working group was established to develop Committee goals and objectives. Participants are as follows:

SG1I

[REDACTED]

SG1J

Dr. Bill Mehuron, Navy

[REDACTED]

SG1I

Maj Bill Stoner, Army OACSI

[REDACTED]

Maj Murray Watt, INSCOM

The group will hold its first meeting in January, 1979.

10. (C) Maj Stoner then addressed problems involved with the Privacy Act and the security of the GRILL FLAME program. Army plans to develop a security agreement to preclude compromise of the program and associated activities. In addition, the DIA Legal Counsel will be contacted for his comments.

SG1A

[REDACTED]

SG1J

12. (S/ORCON) A discussion followed on the possibility of inviting CIA to attend the meetings. It was agreed that [REDACTED] would contact

SG1I

[REDACTED] and suggest that a CIA representative attend subsequent meetings.

13. (S/ORCON) The Committee concurred with the recommendation that each member organization maintain control over its own parapsychology research contracts. However, all Committee members will have cognizance of the total DoD contractual efforts in this area.

SG1B

[REDACTED]

15. (C) The problem of document downgrading was also brought to the floor. The Committee agreed that all documents originating as a result of the GRILL FLAME program would undergo downgrading review only after 20 years.

SG1B

[REDACTED]

**SECRET**

17. (S/ORCON) Dr. Vorona then urged the development of experimental protocol and methods for validating experiments. As a result, an "ad hoc" working group was established to accomplish this task. Members are as follows:

SG1I

[REDACTED]

Mr. John Kramar, AMSAA  
Dr. Bill Mehuron, Navy

SG1J

[REDACTED]

SG1I

Maj Murray Watt, INSCOM

The working group will hold its first meeting in January, 1979.

SG1J

18. (S/ORCON) [REDACTED] next provided a review of the SRI remote viewing experiments carried out at DIA this past summer. The results appear to be inconclusive, but satisfactory data interpretation keys must still be developed. A summary of the experiments is attached as enclosure 9.

19. (U) The next meeting of the GRILL FLAME Committee will be 13 February 1979.

20. (U) The meeting adjourned at 1210 hours.

Respectfully submitted,

[REDACTED]

SG1J

9 Enclosures

**SECRET**

DISSEMINATION AND EXTRACTION OF  
INFORMATION CONTROLLED BY ORIGINATOR

**SG1J**

**Approved For Release 2000/08/07 : CIA-RDP96-00788R001100070001-3**

**Approved For Release 2000/08/07 : CIA-RDP96-00788R001100070001-3**

TAB H

IAFM-OPS-HUP

29 November 1978

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJ: GRILL FLAME Meeting, DARCOM, 28 Nov 78

1.(S) DA representatives met at HQ DARCOM to discuss project GRILL FLAME and what Army position should be. Individuals present were:

Dr. Haley - DARCOM  
COL Capps - OACSI, HQ DA  
COL DeProspero - AMSAA  
Mr. Kramar - AMSAA  
Maj Stoner - OACSI, HQ DA  
Maj Watt - HQ INSCOM  
Mr. Clinton - MIRADCOM  
Mr. Green - MIRADCOM

2. (S/NOFORN) Dr. Haley asked the various representatives to provide the group with a brief update as to their activities in the GRILL FLAME area.

a. Mr. Kramas, AMSAA: Contract with SRI is being rewritten, to include INSCOM participation. Meanwhile, AMSAA has been conducting experiments on their own--thus far have completed 20 and results are being judged now.

b. Maj Watt, INSCOM: Highlighted recent trip to SRI and covered major MILESTONES for project concerned with training INSCOM personnel at SRI.

c. Mr. Clinton: MIRADCOM presently does not have any outstanding projects in the GRILL FLAME CATEGORY; however, he had Mr. Green present short briefing on MIRADCOM proposal for a project involving psychokinesis (PK) phenomenon. Dr. Haley requested that MG Thompson be made aware of the proposal and that eventually Dr. La Berge should be briefed. Col Capps and Maj Stoner were going to follow through on this topic.

3. Maj Stoner and Maj Watt briefed Dr. Haley (at his request) on the major points covered at the DoD GRILL FLAME meeting earlier in the day.

4. Dr. Haley requested all members to look at what information should be made available to the public or not made available. He was concerned that Army could look "bad" if news broke concerning Army involvement in paranormal activities and there was not an acceptable story prepared for release.

5. Meeting adjourned at 1530 hrs.

*Murray B. Watt*  
MURRAY B. WATT  
Maj, MI  
GRILL FLAME  
Project Officer, INSCOM

Class by DIR DIA  
Declassify on 29 Nov 99  
Extended by DIR DIA  
REASON: 2-301-C  
(3)(6).